

## REMARKS

This paper is responsive to an Office Action mailed June 27, 2007. Prior to this response, claims 1-20 were pending. After amending claims 1, 4, 7, 11, 14, and 18 and canceling claims 2-3 and 12-13, claims 1, 4-11, and 14-20 remain pending.

Section 1 of the Office Action objects to the drawings. In response, Replacement drawings are enclosed. Fig. 1 has been corrected to show the reference designator associated with the “interpreter” as being –102--, instead of “104”.

In Section 3 of the Office Action claims 1-2, 8-9, 11-12, and 19-20 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Hull et al. (US 5,978,477).


Section 4 of the Office Action states that claims 3-7 and 13-18 would be found allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the subject matter of the bas and intervening claims. In response, claim 1 has been amended to include the subject matter of claims 2-3, now canceled. All claims dependent from claim 1 should now be found allowable. Claim 11 has been amended to include the subject matter of claims 12-13, now canceled. All claims dependent from claim 11 should now be found allowable.

Section 5 of the Office Action states that claim 10 has been allowed. Note: the Applicant neither expressly agrees nor disagrees with the stated reasons for allowance.

It is believed that the application is in condition for allowance and reconsideration is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 8/31/2007

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Gerald Maliszewski  
Registration No. 38,054

Customer Number 55,286  
P.O. Box 270829  
San Diego, CA 92198-2829  
Telephone: (858) 451-9950  
Facsimile: (858) 451-9869  
gerry@ipatentit.net